

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/813,122	03/31/2004	Yoshihiko Yano	042306 3715			
38834	7590 06/14/2005	EXAMINER				
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			KOVAL, M	KOVAL, MELISSA J		
SUITE 700	CHCOT AVENUE, IV	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2851			

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No	Annii cont(a)	14.	
I,		Application		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/813,122		YANO, YOSHIHIKO		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Melissa J. K		2851		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on the (over sheet with the c	orrespondence address	•	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even unication. of days, a reply within the statute tutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.	
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on				
		b)⊠ This action is no	n-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from cons				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 200 Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	4 is/are: a) \square accepte tion to the drawing(s) be the correction is required	held in abeyance. See I if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	documents have been documents have been of the priority documen nal Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Application ts have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen			_			
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date <u>October 14, 2004</u> .	FO-948) PTO/SB/08) 5	nterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa			

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it includes the prohibited legal phraseology "means". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent

Art Unit: 2851

granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Atsushi JP 2002 209393.

Claim 1 sets forth: "A liquid crystal projector comprising:

detection means for detecting whether a cooling fan is stopped or is being rotated (See voltage division circuit 12.);

first control means for gradually raising a voltage to be applied to the cooling fan when the cooling fan is started (See time constant circuit 13.);

and second control means for setting the voltage to be applied to the cooling fan to a predetermined normal operating voltage when the detection means detects that the cooling fan is rotated in the process of gradually raising the voltage to be applied to the cooling fan by the first control means (See regulator circuit 11.)."

Claim 2 sets forth: "A liquid crystal projector comprising:

a detection circuit for detecting whether a cooling fan is stopped or is being rotated (See voltage division circuit 12.);

a first control circuit for gradually raising a voltage to be applied to the cooling fan when the cooling fan is started (See time constant circuit 13.); and

a second control circuit for setting the voltage to be applied to the cooling fan to a predetermined normal operating voltage when the detection circuit detects that the

Art Unit: 2851

cooling fan is rotated in the process of gradually raising the voltage to be applied to the cooling fan by the first control circuit (See regulator circuit 11.)."

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suzuki U.S. Patent 6,776,489 B2.

See Figures 4 through 6 of Suzuki '489 B2, for example.

Claim 1 sets forth: "A liquid crystal projector comprising:

detection means for detecting whether a cooling fan is stopped or is being rotated (See step S107 of Figure 6.);

first control means for gradually raising a voltage to be applied to the cooling fan when the cooling fan is started (See step S108 of Figure 6.);

and second control means for setting the voltage to be applied to the cooling fan to a predetermined normal operating voltage when the detection means detects that the cooling fan is rotated in the process of gradually raising the voltage to be applied to the cooling fan by the first control means (See step S109 of Figure 6)."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hosoda et al. U.S. Patent 6,550,920 B2 liquid crystal projector.

Art Unit: 2851

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa J. Koval whose telephone number is (571) 272-2121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJK